

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vignia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/963,790	09/27/2001	Mike Farwick	32301WD230	9133
	590 09/09/2003			
SMITH, GAMBRELL & RUSSELL, LLP SUITE 800 1850 M STREET, N.W. WASHINGTON, DC 20036			EXAMINER	
			KERR, KATHLEEN M	
	11, DC 20030		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1652 DATE MAILED: 09/09/2003	12

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary	09/963,790	FARWICK ET AL.				
omce Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
The MAU INC DATE of the	Kathleen M Kerr	1652				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any						
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>20</u>	March 2002 .					
	his action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-29</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)☐ Claim(s) is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-29</u> are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a)						
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.						
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).						
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5\	PTO-413) Paper No(s) tent Application (PTO-152)				
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 04-01) Office Act	ion Summary	Part of Paner No. 12				

Application/Control Number: 09/963,790

Art Unit: 1652

DETAILED ACTION

Application Status

1. Claims 1-29 are pending in the instant application

Restriction

- 2. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. § 121:
 - I. Claims 1-9, 12 and 27, drawn to polynucleotides coding for the deaD gene, vectors and host cells thereof, classified in class 536, subclass 23.1.
 - II. Claims 9-10, drawn to coryneform bacteria with an attenuated dead gene, classified in class 435, subclass 252.1.
 - III. Claims 13-26, drawn to methods of making L-amino acids, classified in class 435, subclass 106.
 - IV. Claims 28-29, drawn to methods for isolating nucleic acids related to the deaD gene, classified in class 435, subclass 6.
- 3. The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Group I is related to Group II because the nucleotide sequence of Group I is attenuated and/or eliminated in the host cells of Group II. The Groups are distinct because one product is drawn to the presence of a particular sequence and the other is drawn to the absence, thus, rendering products with wholly different structures. Therefore, Groups I and II are patentably distinct. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a

Art Unit: 1652

separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Group I is related to Group III by virtue of being the gene deleted in the host cells for use in the methods. The products of Group I are neither used nor produced in the methods. Thus, Group I is patentably distinct from Group III. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Group I is related to Group IV as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (M.P.E.P. § 806.05(h)). In the instant case, the polynucleotides can be used for a materially different process of using that product, such as in the recombinant production of the encoded enzyme. Thus, Group I is patentably distinct from Group IV. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Group II is related to Group III as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (M.P.E.P. § 806.05(h)). In the instant case, the coryneform bacteria can be used for a materially different process of using that product, such as in production of pantothenate since coryneform is known

Art Unit: 1652

as an excellent commercial producer of pantothenate. Thus, Group II is patentably distinct from Group III. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Groups II and IV are related by virtue of the products of Group II lacking a gene necessary for the methods of Group IV. The products of Group II are neither used nor produced in the methods. Thus, Group II is patentably distinct from Group IV. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Groups III and IV are related as processes using, or not using, the same polynucleotides. However, the Groups are distinct because they require distinct method steps using distinct reagents to produce distinct products. Moreover, these distinct methods are not disclosed as being used together. Thus, Groups III and IV are patentably distinct. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Notice of Possible Rejoinder

4. The Examiner notes that if product claims in Groups I or II are found directed to an allowable product, then process claims in Groups IV and III, respectively, which are directed to processes of using the patentable product, previously withdrawn from consideration as a result of a restriction requirement, would now be rejoined pursuant to the procedures set forth in the Official Gazette notice dated March 26, 1996 (1184 O.G. 86; see also M.P.E.P. § 821.04, *In re* Ochiai, and *In re* Brouwer). Since process claims would be rejoined and fully examined for

Art Unit: 1652

patentability under 37 C.F.R. § 1.104, Applicants are instructed to amend said claims as deemed necessary according to rejections made against the elected claims.

Election

5. A telephone call was made to Robert Weilacher on September 8, 2003 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 C.F.R. § 1.143). Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 C.F.R. § 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 C.F.R. § 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 C.F.R. § 1.17(i).

Conclusion

6. A complete response to the instant Office action must include an election of invention.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kathleen M Kerr whose telephone number is (703) 305-1229. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday, from 8:30am to 5pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ponnathupura Achutamurthy can be reached on (703) 308-3804. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 872-9306 for regular communications and (703) 872-9307 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0196.

KMK

September 8, 2003

Votl_V